

**INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
LAW SUMMARY**



LAWSKOOL.COM.AU

LAWSKOOL PTY LTD

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	6
1. COPYRIGHT	9
1.1. Overview and Policy of Copyright Law	12
1.1.1 History of Copyright Law	13
1.1.2 Justification	14
1.2 Copyright Protects the Expression of an Idea, Not the Idea Itself.....	15
1.3 Requirement for Copyright to Subsist in a Work	16
1.4 Copyright Subject Matter.....	17
1.4.1 Original Works (s 32 CA)	17
1) Original Literary Works	18
2) Original Dramatic Works	20
3) Original Musical Works	22
4) Original Artistic Works	24
1.4.2 SMOTW (Part V 22 89 – 92 CA).....	26
1) Sound Recordings: s 89 CA	26
2) Cinematograph Films: s 90 CA.....	26
(i) Publishing by ISPs	27
(ii) Computer Games.....	27
3) Sound and Television Broadcasts: s 91 CA	27
4) Published Editions of Works (s 92).....	29
1.5 Owning Copyright	29
1.5.1 Owning copyright in works	29
1.5.2 Owning copyright in SMOTW	31
1.6 Copyright Duration	31
1.7 Assignment, Licence and Bequest	33
1.8 Exclusive rights attached to works	34
1.9 Exclusive rights attached to SMOTW	35
1.10 Copyright infringement.....	36
1.11 The Substantiality Requirement.....	37
1.12 Direct infringement.....	38

1) Infringing the right to reproduce	38
2) Infringing the right to publish.....	41
3) Infringing the right to perform in public	42
4) Infringing the right to communicate to the public.....	43
5) Infringing the right to make an adaptation	43
6) Infringing the right to enter into a commercial rental agreement.....	45
7) Infringing the right to make a copy	45
8) Infringing the right to be heard or seen in public	46
9) Infringing the right to make a recording or film of a broadcast.....	46
10) Infringing the right to re-broadcast.....	46
11) Infringing the right to make a facsimile or photocopy	47
12) Infringing an exclusive right by authorisation.....	47
1.13 Indirect infringement	49
1) Parallel importation (s 37 – works, s 102 – subject matter).....	51
1) Public performance (s 39 – works).....	52
1.14 Moral rights.....	53
1.14.1 Types of moral rights.....	53
1.14.2 Application and duration of moral rights.....	56
1.14.3 Avoiding Infringement – consent and defences for infringing moral rights .	57
1.14.4 Remedies for infringement of moral rights.....	57
1.15 Defences to copyright infringement.....	58
1. Fair Dealing	58
2. Implied licences	64
2. Legal Exception	64
3. Computer Programs Exception (ss 47AB – 47H).....	64
4. Private and Domestic Use Exception.....	65
5. Sculptures or Artistic Craftsmanship and Buildings Exception	66
6. Compulsory or Statutory License Exception	66
1.16 Actions for Infringement of Copyright.....	67
2. Designs	
2.1 Design concepts	71
2.2 Registrability criteria	73
2.2.1 Newness and Distinctiveness	73

2.3.2	Prior art base (s 15(2))	73
2.3.4	Substantially Similar (ss 16(2) and 19).....	74
2.3.5	When will a design not be new or distinct.....	74
2.3	Process of Registering a Design	75
2.3.1	Who can get a design registered?.....	75
2.3.2	Application date = priority date	75
2.3.3	Period of protection of registered design	75
2.3.4	Property rights of registered design owner	75
2.4	Limitations on registrability.....	76
2.5	Actions for Infringement.....	77
2.6	Defences.....	79
2.7	Remedies.....	79
2.8	Overlap between copyright, patents and designs.....	80
3.	Patents	
3.1	What a patent is and does.....	82
3.2	Elements of Patentability	83
1)	<u>Manner of new manufacture (s 18)</u>	83
2)	<u>Novelty cf anticipated (ss 7 and 18(1)(b))</u>	87
3)	<u>Inventive Step – cf obviousness (ss 7(2) and (3))</u>	91
4)	<u>Innovative Step (ss 7(4),(5))</u>	93
5)	<u>Useful (s 18(1)(c))</u>	93
6)	<u>Must not have been secretly used (s 18(1)(d))</u>	94
7)	<u>Sufficiency (s 40(2))</u>	95
8)	<u>Clear and succinct and fairly based (s 40(3))</u>	96
9)	<u>Misrepresentation/fraud (s 138(3)(d))</u>	97
3.3	The application process.....	97
3.4	Examination by Commissioner.....	99
3.5	Opposition after examination, but prior to patent being granted	99
3.6	Actions for Infringement and Opposition after patent granted.....	100
3.7	Patentee’s exclusive rights.....	100
3.8	Compulsory licenses	101
3.9	Construing the scope of the patent.....	101
3.10	Exploitation.....	101

3.11 Contributory Infringement	103
3.12 Remedies (s 122).....	105
3.13 Groundless Threats of Legal Action	106
3.14 Revocation	107
4. TRADE MARKS.....	108
4.1 Registered and Unregistered Marks.....	108
4.2 How the Trade Mark System Works	108
1) Application, s 27.....	108
2) Examination – s 31	109
3) Opposition to application – Part V	109
4) Registration.....	110
5) Possible revocation.....	110
4.3 Groundless threats – 129.....	110
4.4 Types of Marks	111
4.5 Is the Mark a Trade Mark?.....	112
1) TM must be a ‘sign’	112
2) TM must be ‘used or intended to be used’: s 17(1).....	112
3) <u>Goods or services (for which the TM is registered) must be provided in the</u> <u>course of trade: s 17(1)</u>	114
4.6 Is the Mark Registrable as a Trade Mark?.....	114
a) The mark must be capable of distinguishing: s 41.....	114
4.7 Other grounds for rejecting an application	122
4.8 Grounds for Rejection and Opposition	126
4.8.1 <u>Confusion with a well-known mark: s 60</u>	130
4.9 Trade Mark Owner Rights (ss 20 and 22).....	130
4.10 Trade Mark Infringement (s 120)	130
4.11 Defences to Trade Mark Infringement.....	133
4.12 Limitations on the exclusive rights of the trade mark owner.....	138
4.13 Grounds for Amendment or Expungement of Trade Mark from Register	140
1) Part VIII (ss 85 – 89)	140
2) Non-use: s 92	142
SOURCES CONSULTED.....	143

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Copyright

<i>Pacific Film Laboratories v Federal Commissioner of Taxation</i> (1970)	1.1
<i>Desktop Marketing v Telstra Corporation</i> (2002)	1.4
<i>Nine Network Australia v ABC</i> (1999)	1.4
<i>CBS Records Australia Ltd v Gross</i> (1989)	1.4
<i>Network Ten v TCN Channel Nine</i> (2004)	1.4
<i>Zeccola v Universal Studios</i> (1982)	1.12
<i>Interstate Parcel Express v Time-Life International</i> (1977)	1.13
<i>De Garis v Neville Jeffress Pidler</i> (1990)	1.15
<i>Donoghue v Allied Newspapers</i> [1938] Ch 106	1.2
<i>Autodesk P/L v Cheung</i> (1990)	1.16
<i>Mono Pumps (New Zealand) Ltd v Katrinya Industries Ltd</i> (1984) 4 IPR 505	1.2
<i>University of London Press Ltd v University Tutorial Press Ltd</i> [1916] 2 Ch 601	1.4
<i>Dick v Yates</i> [1881] Ch 6	1.4
<i>Sunlec International Pty Ltd v Electropar Ltd</i>	1.4
<i>IceTV Pty Ltd v Nine Network Australia Pty Ltd</i> [2009] HCA 14	1.4
<i>Interlego AG v Croner Trading Pty Ltd</i> (1993) 25 IPR 65	1.4
<i>Nine Films & Television Pty Ltd v Ninox Television Ltd</i> [2005] FCA 1404	1.5
<i>Greenfield Products v Rover Scott Bonnar</i> (1900) 17 IPR 417	1.4
<i>Roadshow Films Pty Limited v iiNet Limited</i> [2011] FCAFC 23 (24 February 2011)	1.4
<i>Cala Homes (South) Ltd v Alfred McAlpine Homes East Ltd</i> [1995] FSR 818	1.5
<i>Wilson v Weiss Art Pty Ltd</i> (1995) 31 IPR 413	1.7
<i>Concrete Pty Ltd v Parramatta Design & Developments Pty Ltd</i> [2006] HCA 55	1.7
<i>Network Ten Pty Ltd v TCN Channel Nine Pty Ltd</i> [2004] HCA	1.11
<i>Larrikin Music Publishing Pty Ltd v Emi songs Australia Pty Ltd</i> [2010] FCA 29	1.12
<i>Francis Day and Hunter v Bron</i> [1963] Ch 587	1.12

Avel Pty Ltd v Multicoin Amusements Pty Ltd [1990] HCA 58

1.12

APRA v Tolbush (1985) 62 ALR 521

1.12

Telstra Corporation Ltd v APRA [1997] HCA 41

1.12

APRA v Jain (1990) 26 FCR 53

1.12

APRA v Metro on George Pty Ltd [2004] FCA 1123

1.12

Cooper v Universal Music Australia Pty Ltd [2006] FCAFC 187

1.12

TCN Channel Nine Pty Ltd v Network Ten Pty Ltd (2002) 55 IPR 112

1.15

Designs

Malleys Ltd v J W Tomlin P/L (1961)

2.1

Keller v LED Technologies Pty Ltd [2010] FCAFC 55 (9 June 2010)

2.5

Patents

National Research Development Corporation v Commissioner of Patents (1959) 3.2

Nicaro Holdings v Martin Engineering (1990) 3.2

Aktiebolaget v Alphapharm (2002) 3.2

Samuel Taylor v S A Brush (1950) 3.2

NV Philips Gloeilampenfabrieken v Mirabella International (1995) 32 IPR 449 3.2

Woo-Suk Hwang [2004] APO 24 3.2

Neilson v Minister of Public Works (NSW) (1914) 18 CLR 423 3.2

Meyer Taylor Pty Ltd v Vicarr Industries Ltd [1977] HCA 19 3.2

H Kundebeck A/S v Alphapharm Pty Ltd [2009] FCAFC 70 3.2

<i>ICI Chemicals & Polymers Ltd v Lubrizol Corp Inc</i> [1999] FCA 345	3.2
<i>Martin Engineering v Trison Holdings</i> (1989) 14 IPR 330	3.2
University of Western Australia v Gray (No 20) (includes corrigendum dated 29 April 2008 and 22 April 2008) [2008] FCA 498 (17 April 2008)	
3.11	

Trade marks

<i>Transport Tyres v Montana</i> (1999)	4.3
<i>Imperial Group Ltd v Philip Morris & Co Ltd</i> [1980]	4.5
<i>Mark Foy's Ltd v Davis Coop</i> (1956)	4.6
<i>Kenman Kandy Case</i> (2001)	4.6
<i>Phone Directories Company Australia Pty Ltd v Telstra Corporation Limited</i> (includes Corrigendum dated 24 April 2014) [2014] FCA 373 (11 April 2014)	4.7
<i>Moorgate Tobacco v Philip Morris</i> (No 2) (1984)	4.8
<i>Aston v Harlee</i> (1960)	4.8
<i>Shell Company v Esso</i> (1963)	4.10
<i>Coca-Cola v All-Fect Distributors Ltd</i> (1999)	4.10
<i>Angoves Pty Ltd v Johnson</i> (1982)	4.11
<i>Wingate Marketing v Levi Strauss & Co</i> (1994)	4.11

1. COPYRIGHT

- **SMOT** – subject matter other than works
- **CR** – copyright
- **CA** – *Copyright Act 1968 (Cth)*
- **TM** – trade mark
- **Anticipation:** lack of novelty. Where an invention has been anticipated (i.e. in some way foreshadowed or revealed) it will no longer be considered to be novel and hence patentable. In deciding whether there has been an anticipation you follow through the various tests for novelty laid down in the Act.
- **Obviousness:** reference to the inventiveness requirement. Obviousness is the converse of inventiveness. Where person has only done what was obvious, that person has not been inventive, or has not taken an ‘inventive step’ and hence the ‘invention’ will not be patentable. Again when deciding whether there is obviousness present you follow the various tests for inventive step laid down in the Act.
- **Integers:** are features of the invention, eg the fact that a spring is coiled clockwise rather than anticlockwise. Sometimes these integers are considered **essential** for the invention; but if they are of minor importance they will be dubbed **inessential integers**.
- **Workshop variations/adjustments or improvements or just variants:** which normally arise with questions of inventiveness. The words are used to indicate changes to the ‘invention’, which have not involved an inventive step. **Workshop improvements** are said to come about through ordinary methods of trial and error. They are similar to the substitution of a mechanical equivalent that again does not require any inventiveness to carry out (usually discussed when it is novelty which is being discussed and indicates which changes will not be taken into account when it is being decided).
- **Subject matter:** for the grant of a patent. This means that there is no patentable invention on the basis that nothing has been invented.
- **Combination patents:** comprised of integers, some or all of which are known but which in a combination produce a new and better result through the interaction of the integers.

- ***Mere collection of known integers***: if the integers do not interact in this way but simply continue to perform their known functions, usually being denied registration.

Recent Changes

The *Intellectual Property Laws Amendment Act 2015* (Cth) received Royal Assent on 25 February 2015. The Act amends the *Patents Act 1990*, *Trade Marks Act 1995*, *Designs Act 2003*, and the *Plant Breeder's Rights Act 1994* in order to:

- Implement the Protocol amending the World Trade Organization Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property (TRIPS Protocol), enabling Australian medicine producers to manufacture and export patented pharmaceuticals to countries experiencing health crises, under a compulsory licence from the Federal Court
- Extend the jurisdiction of the former Federal Magistrates Court, the Federal Circuit Court, to include plant breeder's rights matters
- Allow for a single trans-Tasman patent attorney regime and single patent application and examination processes for Australia and New Zealand, as part of the broader Single Economic Market (SEM) agenda
- Make minor administrative changes to the Patents, Trade Marks and Designs Acts to repeal unnecessary document retention provisions that are already adequately governed by the *Archives Act 1983*
- Make minor technical amendments to the *Patents Act* to correct oversights in the drafting of the *Intellectual Property Laws Amendment (Raising the Bar) Act 2012* which was passed by Parliament in March 2012.

Be aware of these changes but know that they do not affect the Intellectual Property Law course.



**To order the complete version of the
Lawskool Intellectual Property Law Summary please visit
www.lawskool.com.au**